

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Left margins

1.5 inch (all)

Right margins

1 inch (all)



University Logo (color)

Size: 0.89 inches wide, 1.5 inches

**ENGLISH TITLE (USE A CAPITALIZE 14 PT BOLD,  
AND A TRIANGULAR HEAD IF MORE THAN  
ONE LINE FORMAT)**

**NAME LASTNAME**

\* MASTER/ DOCTOR

**MASTER OF NAME**

**IN**

**PROGRAM NAME**

**SCHOOL OF NAME**

**MAE FAH LUANG UNIVERSITY**

**Academic year of submission**

**©COPYRIGHT BY MAE FAH LUANG UNIVERSITY**

Font size 14 (all)

\*use 1.5 lines all book

Bottom margins 1 inch (all)

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

**ENGLISH TITLE MUST BE THE SAME AS THE COVER**

Left margins

1.5 inch (all)

Right margins

1 inch (all)

**NAME LASTNAME**

**THIS THESIS IS A PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF  
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF**

**MASTER OF**

**IN**

**PROGRAM NAME**

Font size 14 (all)

**SCHOOL OF NAME**

**MAE FAH LUANG UNIVERSITY**

**Academic year of submission**

**©COPYRIGHT BY MAE FAH LUANG UNIVERSITY**

\*use 1.5 lines all book

Bottom margins 1 inch (all)

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Right margins  
1 inch (all)

Left margins 1.5 inch (all)



Spacing 6 pt

**THESIS APPROVAL**  
**MAE FAH LUANG UNIVERSITY**  
**FOR**

**MASTER OF NAME IN PROGRAM NAME**

Spacing 6 pt

**Thesis Title:** English Title use Capitalized Each Word

Spacing 6 pt

**Author:** Name Latname

Spacing 6 pt

**Examination Committee:**

Academic Position Name Lastname, Degree	Chairperson
Academic Position Name Lastname, Degree	Member
Academic Position Name Lastname, Degree	Member
Academic Position Name Lastname, Degree	Member
Academic Position Name Lastname, Degree	Member

Font size 12 (all)

Spacing 6 pt

**Advisors:**

..... Advisor

(Assistant Professor Narat Hasachoo, D. Eng.)

.....Co-Advisor

(Assistant Professor Nathapornpan Uttama, Ph. D.)

**Dean:**

Spacing 6 pt

.....

(Piyatida Pianluprasidh, Ph. D.)

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Right margins

1 inch (all)

Left margins

1.5 inch (all)

Topic Font size 14 pt

Spacing 24 pt

Writing an acknowledgement is the last and the best part of the dissertation writing process. It is a statement written by the author acknowledging the persons who assisted in writing the dissertation by being involved in different ways. You have the choice in your dissertation to leave out the acknowledgement, but this hardly ever happens. There has to be a way for you to thank the persons who helped you, made sacrifices in terms of time and effort and supported you to complete your dissertation successfully.

After all it is not the proper etiquette as not to do so. Acknowledgments do not need to comply with any fixed format or structure. It should be written in simple and in a personal tone. But sometimes, simpler matters turn out to be more difficult than one assumes.

Spacing 24 pt

Name Lastname

Font size 12 (all)

\*Cover page - table of contents does not need page numbers.

THESIS  
DISSERTATION  
INDEPENDENT

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Title, use a capitalize only the first letter of word, except proper nouns.

Left margins  
1.5 inch (all)

**Thesis Title**

English Title

**Author**

Name Lastname

**Degree**

Master of ..... (Name of Program)

**Advisor**

Academic Position Name Lastname, Degree

**Co-Advisor (if any)**

Academic Position Name Lastname, Degree

Academic Position Name Lastname, Degree

Right margins  
1 inch (all)

Spacing 24 pt

**ABSTRACT**

-0.5 inch-

Describing the abstract as a summary is accurate, but is also an understatement. Generally, an abstract contains the thesis of research conducted, the methods through which the thesis was tested, the findings of the research, and any final thoughts. An abstract should be as succinct as possible, and readers should not have difficulty comprehending the purpose of the research.

According to the APA, abstract length is limited to 120 words. Abstracts longer than 120 words may be truncated when included in databases, limiting searchability. The information included in an abstract depends on the type of paper. A report of an empirical study should contain a statement of the problem, subjects, method, findings and conclusion.

Spacing 24 pt

**Keywords:** Keyword, Keyword, Keyword, Keyword, Keyword, Keyword, Keyword, Keyword, Keyword, Keyword, Keyword, Keyword

Font size 12 (all)

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Right margins

1 inch (all)

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Topic Font size 14 pt

Spacing 24 pt

Left margins

1.5 inch (all)

Font size 12 (all)

## **CHAPTER**

**Page**

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

**1**

1.1 Title

Page

1.2 Title

Page

1.3 Title

Page

1.4 Title

Page

1.5 Title

Page

1.No. Title

Page

### **2 Title**

**Page**

2.1 Title

Page

2.2 Title

Page

2.3 Title

Page

2.4 Title

Page

### **3 Title**

**Page**

3.1 Title

Page

3.2 Title

Page

3.3 Title

Page

3.No. Title

Page

### **4 Title**

**Page**

4.1 Title

Page

4.2 Title

Page

4.3 Title

Page

4.No. Title

Page

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Right margins

1 inch (all)

Topic Font size 14 pt

Spacing 24 pt

Left margins

1.5 inch (all)

Font size 12 (all)

## **CHAPTER**

### **5 Title**

5.1 Title

5.2 Title

5.3 Title

5.No. Title

## **REFERENCES**

## **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A NAME

APPENDIX B NAME

APPENDIX C NAME

## **CURRICULUM VITAE**

**Page**

**Page**

Page

Page

Page

Page

**Page**

**Page**

Page

Page

Page

**Page**

# LIST OF TABLES

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Right margins

1 inch (all)

Topic Font size 14 pt

Spacing 24 pt

Left margins

1.5 inch (all)

Font size 12 (all)

## Table

1 Frame Work

**Page**

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page



Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Right margins

1 inch (all)

# LIST OF FIGURES

Topic Font size 14 pt

Spacing 24 pt

Left margins

1.5 inch (all)

Font size 12 (all)

## Figure

1 Type of Skin

## Page

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

No. Title

Page

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

**ABBREVIATION AND SYMBOL (if any)**

Topic Font size 14 pt

Spacing 24 pt

Left margins  
1.5 inch (all)

VT  
VA  
WA  
WV  
WI  
WY

Vermont  
Virginia  
Washington  
West Virginia  
Wisconsin  
Wyoming

Right margins  
1 inch (all)

Font size 12 (all)

Header from  
top 1 inch  
page

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

## CHAPTER 2

Spacing 24 pt

### LITERATURE REVIEW

Spacing 24 pt

Right margins  
1 inch (all)

#### 2.1 Previous Related Studies

Spacing 24 pt

Left margins  
1.5 inch (all)

**-0.5 inch-** → Stratigos et al. (2000) considered treatment of cutaneous pigmentation as one of the most interesting areas of cutaneous laser surgery. They reviewed the 4 main short-pulsed, pigment-selective lasers in clinical use at that time, and Q-switched ruby laser (694 nm, 25-40 nanoseconds) was one of them which used successfully for decades in treatment of superficial pigmented lesions, such as ephelides, solar and labial lentigines, and flat seborrheic keratoses. Dermal and mixed epidermal/dermal pigmented lesions like melasma show variable responses.

##### **-0.5 inch-** → 2.1.1 Indications for Facial Resurfacing

Laser facial resurfacing treat extensive cutaneous changes duo to solar damage, and other skin lesions including (Goodman, 2007; Kilmer & Semchyshyn, 2005)

**-0.75 inch-** → 2.2.2.1 Irregular pigmentation and Dyschromia: Many epidermal pigmented lesions that are due to photoaging, such as ephelides, lentigines, seborrheic keratoses, dermatosis papulosa.

2.2.2.2 Vascular lesions: Like telangiectasia, angiomas with venous lakes, standing erythema, and flushing disorders.

##### 2.1.2 Contraindications to Nonablative Technologies

Because of the possible varied side effects and complications after cutaneous laser surgery, it is essential that each patient receive consultation before treatment to assess the risk factors of adverse sequelae and contraindications (Tanzi & Alster, 2008; Goodman, 2007; Kilmer & Semchyshyn, 2005) which include:

2.2.3.1 Concurrent isotretinoin remains a controversial issue.

2.2.3.2 Current or recent tan or intention to expose to high-dosage ultraviolet radiation. This is of much greater concern in patients who seek visible laser or light source treatment.

**-1 inch-** → 1. Pregnant or breast feeding women.



This is a prospective randomized controlled bilateral left-right comparison trial. It was performed in accordance with Good Clinical Practice. The treatment protocol has been reviewed with each patient who then signed the informed consent. The research was accomplished at Mae Fah Luang University Hospital, Bangkok (Outpatient department).

According to the Clinical Assessment of Repigmentation degree, the mean results of the three dermatologists assessment showed 14 patches (82%) out of the 17 patches were given score 1 which means 1%-24% repigmentation, while only 3 patches (18%) were given 0 score, 6 his means that carbon dioxide laser has achieved mild repigmentation effect in vitiligo patches.

One patient noticed repigmentation in areas not treated by laser, she claimed that repigmentation started to occur in her neck and chest during period of the study, this encouraging news need to be verified in the light of any immunological effects of carbon dioxide laser or it is just an accidental event or something else. But we know that Vitiligo is known as a slowly responding disease so three months of laser sessions may be not enough to achieve complete clinical improvement in repigmentation.

**Table 2.2** The Features of the CICU RF Laser Device


<b>Item</b>	<b>Feature</b>
Laser type	RF CO2 ALL METAL SEALED TYPE
Laser power	up to 30 watt
Laser mode	TEM <sub>00</sub> (10.6 μm)
Pulse duration	100-5000 μs
Repetition	0.2-1 s/single
Overlap	1-10 TH
Distance	0.1-2 mm
Treatment area	1*1 - 20*20 mm
Text	Text
Text	Text
Text	Text
Text	Text

**Table 2.2** (continued)

Item	Feature
Pixel quantity	up to 40,401
Pixel size	> 100 micron
Cooling	Air Cooling
Optical guide	Articulated arm

**Note** Pt. for (patient), CAR for (Clinical Assessment of the Repigmentation)

**Source** Manstein et al. (2010)



**Figure 2.1** CICU RF CO2 Laser Device

Spacing 24 pt

After this relatively short period of follow up, the results, in conclusion, showed patient satisfaction score 16.7% (1 patient) were really satisfied, 66.7% (4 patients) were slightly satisfied and 16.7% (1 patient) with poor satisfaction.

References can be at the end of the book or at the end of each chapter. Choose either format. If at the end of the chapter, it should be written as in the following example.

## References

Litz, R. E. (2008). Mango. In C. Kole & T. C. Hall (Eds.), *Compendium of transgenic crop plants: Transgenic tropical and subtropical fruits and nuts* (pp. 163-174). Blackwell.

## CHAPTER 3

Spacing 24 pt

# INVESTIGATION AND EVALUATION OF IMPACT BRUISING IN GUAVA USING IMAGE PROCESSING AND RESPONSE

## SURFACE METHODOLOGY<sup>1</sup>

Spacing 24 pt

<sup>1</sup> = Enter a number to indicate the footnote

### Abstract


-0.5 inch-  
 → Simulated impact damage testing was investigated by fractal image analysis using response surface methodology (RSM) with a central composite design (CCF) on quality of ‘Glom Sali’ guava for drop heights (0.2, 0.4, and 0.6 m), number of drops (1, 3, and 5) and storage temperature conditions (10, 20, and 30 °C). After 48 h, impacted fruit were determined and analyzed for bruise area (BA), bruise volume (BV), browning index (BI), total color difference ( $\Delta E$ ), image analysis for bruise area (BAI), and fractal dimension (FD) at the bruising region on peeled guava. Results showed that the correlation coefficient ( $r = -0.6055$ ) between  $\Delta E$  and FD value was higher than  $\Delta E$  and either BA ( $r = 0.3132$ ) or BV ( $r = 0.2095$ ). The FD variable was determined as a better indicator than conventional measurement (BA or BV) for pulp browning and impact bruising susceptibility. The FD variable also exhibited highest  $R^2_{adj}$  value (81.69%) among the other five variables, as the highest precision model with high determination coefficient value ( $R^2_{adj}$ ) ( $> 0.8$ ) for impact bruising prediction. Recommended condition of the FD variable to minimize impact bruising was drop height of 0.53 m for five drops under storage at 30 °C. FD variable assessed by image analysis was shown to be a highly capable measurement to determine impact bruising susceptibility in guava fruit.

**Keywords:** Bruise Susceptibility, Impact Bruise, Mechanical Injury, Transportation

Add Line 2 inch

-0.8 inch-  
 → <sup>1</sup>This paper has been published in *Horticulturae Journal*, 2021, 7(10), 411. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7100411>

### 3.1 Introduction

 Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) is one of the most famous and economically important fruits in Thailand, with an export value in 2020 worth 5.50 million USD [1]. Guava is a climacteric fruit with a round shape and thin skin that bruises easily. To maintain fruit quality and shelf life and minimize losses, guava fruit needs proper postharvest handling practices [2]. Thai agriculture and ASEAN standards of guava require slight defects on the skin not exceeding 10% of the total surface area of guava fruit [3,4]. Bruising effects can be distinguished from quality changes in guava such as browning, softening of the fruit peel, cell destruction, and reduction in intercellular air spaces resulting in the bruised tissue losing moisture and becoming desiccated [5]. Impact damage to fruit is more severe than vibration and compression damages. When a fruit falls with sufficient force against a surface, impact damage occurs, while dynamic damage of a single fruit occurs through fruit-to-fruit impact between packaging. Fruit dropping from trees to the ground during harvesting, dynamic impact between single fruit, and between the fruit and packaging or containers are all causes of impact damage [6].

### Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Thailand International Cooperation Agency (TICA) and the Integrated AgriTech Ecosystem Research Group (IATE), Scientific and Technological Instruments Center, Mae Fah Luang University for financial and equipment support.

### References

- Li, Z., & Thomas, C. (2014). Quantitative evaluation of mechanical damage to fresh fruits. *Trends Food Science & Technology*, 35, 138–150.
- Shafie, M., Rajabipour, A., & Mobli, H. (2017). Determination of bruise incidence of pomegranate fruit under drop case. *International Journal of Fruit Sciences*, 17, 1–14.



Left margins  
1.5 inch (all)

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Header from  
top 1 inch  
page

## REFERENCES

Topic Font size 14 pt

Spacing 24 pt

Right margins  
1 inch (all)

Abadias, M., Alegre, I., Oliveira, M., Altisent, R., & Viñas, I. (2012). Growth potential of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on fresh-cut fruits (melon and pineapple) and vegetables (carrot and escarole) stored under different conditions. *Food Control*, 27(1), 37-44.

Coates, K. S. (2015). *The road to economic stability for aboriginal people*.  
<https://www.macdonaldlaurier.ca/files/pdf/MLICommentaryCoates05-15-WebReadyV2.pdf>

The references may be presented in six different formats; however, the same format must be consistently applied throughout the entire document

1. American Psychological Association (APA)
2. Vancouver Style
3. Chicago Style
4. Turabian Style

If there are references at the end of the chapter, there is no need to include the references at the end of the book. No need to list the references at the end of the book.

Left margins  
1.5 inch (all)

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Header from  
top 1 inch  
page

Right margins  
1 inch (all)

**APPENDIX A** } Topic Font size 14 pt

} Spacing 24 pt

**DOCUMENT CERTIFYING HUMAN ETHICS** } Topic Font size 18 pt

} Spacing 24 pt

(if there is a document certifying human ethics, it must added)

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Header from top 1 inch page

Left margins 1.5 inch (all)

**APPENDIX B** Topic Font size 14 pt

Spacing 24 pt

Right margins 1 inch (all)

**CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF REPIGMENTATION FORM**

Spacing 24 pt

Assessment of Repigmentation (CAR)

Please indicate your choice by applying (X);

<b>Patient no. 1</b>						
<b>Lesion no. 1</b>						
Rate of	0%	1 - 24%	25 - 49%	50 - 74%	75 - 99%	100%
Repigmentation						

<b>Patient no. 2</b>						
<b>Lesion no. 1</b>						
Rate of	0%	1 - 24%	25 - 49%	50 - 74%	75 - 99%	100%
Repigmentation						

Top margins 1.5 inch (all)

Header from top 1 inch page

Left margins 1.5 inch (all)

# CURRICULUM VITAE

Topic Font size 14 pt

Spacing 24 pt

Right margins 1 inch (all)

## NAME

Name Lastname

## EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND

Year

Bachelor of Name

Name of Major

Name of Institute

## WORK EXPERIENCE *(if any)*

Year-present

Position

Institution

## SCHOLARSHIP *(if any)*

Year

Name of Scholarship

## PUBLICATION *(if any)*

Abadias, M., Alegre, I., Oliveira, M., Altisent, R., & Viñas, I. (2012). Growth potential of Escherichia coli O157:H7 on fresh-cut fruits (melon and pineapple) and vegetables (carrot and escarole) stored under different conditions. *Food Control*, 27(1), 37-44.