

Evaluation Reviewers Form Plan B

Author **Student ID**

Degree **Programme**

Title

.....

.....

.....

Use the following scale for your ratings of manuscript, mark ✓ where appropriate

Qualities Check	Ratings of the Manuscript				
	Excellent	Good	Average	Low	Poor
1. Is the title clear and is it adequate to the purpose of the study					
2. Abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results					
3. Scientific methods are adequately used					
4. Terminology is adequate					
5. Paper does not have excesses and shortening is not necessary					
6. Results are clearly presented					
7. Conclusions are logically derived from the data presented					
8. Key words are adequate					
9. Supplements (tables, charts, pictures and drawings) are necessary and clear					
10. References are appropriate					

Section 2: Recommendation to Editor

- Publish unaltered
- Publish with revisions
- Reject: inadequate quality

Section 3: Reviewers' Suggestion

3.1 Title

.....

.....

.....

.....

3.2 Abstract

.....
.....
.....
.....

3.3 Keywords

.....
.....
.....
.....

3.4 Introduction

.....
.....
.....
.....

3.5 Objectives

.....
.....
.....
.....

3.6 Methodology

.....
.....
.....
.....

3.7 Literature Review

.....
.....
.....

3.8 Methodology

.....
.....
.....
.....

3.9 Results / Discussion

.....
.....
.....
.....

3.10 Recommendation / Conclusions

.....
.....
.....
.....

3.11 References

.....
.....
.....
.....

3.12 Other

.....
.....
.....
.....

(.....)

Reviewer